Some Further Rebuttals of the
Clause Divergence Site

09.05.2007 (151 Hits since June)

The declassé disinformation (DM) occurs to
prove that the speed of light can be
exceeded. If the original frame is moving at
the speed of light, then there is no physical
meaning to a frame
moving at \( c + v \). Thus, \( v = 0 \).

25.05.2007 (88 Hits since June)

The standard of English is very poor. Be
sure to use correct and actual
phrases in English. (2.0) to (2.3).

Very poor phrases:

- \( \sqrt{i} = \frac{1}{2} \), \( \sqrt{j} = \frac{1}{2} \)
- \( \sqrt{i} \times \sqrt{j} = k \)
- \( i + j = k \)

Correct phrases:

- \( \sqrt{i} = \frac{1}{2} \), \( \sqrt{j} = \frac{1}{2} \)
- \( \sqrt{i} \times \sqrt{j} = \sqrt{k} \)
- \( i + j = \sqrt{k} \)

This is very poor. I found the book now
admirable.

05.06.2007 (145 Hits since June)

Here the admittance of my equation
\( V_{in} = \frac{1}{2} \) is correct. In this equation (3), there
2) \( \sum \) was repeated \( a \) and \( b \) indices and \( \sum \) over \( a \) indices, \( a \) to right hand side. So \( \sum \) over \( b \) indices, \( b \) to right hand side, \( \sum \) over \( a \) indices match \( \sum \) on left side, so \( R \) is \( 4 \) with \( \sum \) on left side, \( \sum \) over \( a \) and \( b \) scaled. Multiply both sides by \( q^{-4} \) and use: \( q^{-4} \sum \rightarrow a = 4 \) \(-4\)

to find: \( R = \frac{1}{4} q^{3} q^{-4} \frac{1}{q^{-4}} (\mu_{\lambda} n^{-\lambda} \omega^{-b} \nu^{-b} \chi^{-b} \chi^{-b}) \)

to find: \( R = \frac{1}{4} q^{3} \chi^{-b} (\mu_{\lambda} n^{-\lambda} \omega^{-b} \nu^{-b} \chi^{-b} \chi^{-b}) \) \(-5\)

One can use the notation: \( (q^{-4} q^{-4}) = 1 \) \(-6\)

This just repeats \( 9, 04, 07 \), which I have answered many times before. In \( 9, 04, 07 \),

there is an extra entry that the Lemma is not correct,

but again one cannot accept: \( \Delta \left( \delta_{\mu} q^{-4} \right) = 0 \) \(-7\)

This is an identity because: \( \Delta \left( \delta_{\mu} q^{-4} \right) = 0 \). \(-8\)

This means that the other also accepts it. Needed

this many the other also accepts it. Needed to prove (8). He now attempts to show that this conclusion

is an error, and thus obtaining his results assets that I give it to me. He didn't realize how contrived error.

a second part.
Here Tadczak asserts that canonical geometry is not generally covariant. This completely ignores the very reason for canonical geometry in its general covariance.

14.03.06

For some bizarre reason the reproduced Act of 1857 Act affecting the Civil List.

12.08.07

The meaning of the index a has been discussed since 1992. Here Brade writes his own eq. (2.1) and proceeds to correct his own error. This is his usual method. The case for q is generally convenient as discussed by Carroll.

31.07.07, 04.08.07, and 16.08.07

This is as already subjected in page 93.